

... against the accused, that he might have been in possession of a Country ... 2.3.4.1950, but more susp. than is not enough, and if there is any doubt, the benefit of the reasonable doubt has to be given to the accused. It is significant that it is not alleged, that the accused threw the bomb at P.M. 1 or P.M. 2, to escape arrest.

10. All the four assessors have returned the opinion, that the accused is not guilty. For the foregoing reasons, in agreement with them, I find the accused not guilty, giving him the benefit of the doubt.

11. In the result, the accused is acquitted, and he will be set at liberty, unless he is otherwise required to be detained.

Dictated to the shorthand ~~writer~~ and pronounced by me in open Court, this 20th day of November, 1951.

R. Lakshmanan,
Assistant Sessions
Judge.

Opinion of the Assessors:-

First Assessor:	Not guilty
Second Assessor:	Not guilty
Third Assessor:	Not guilty
Fourth Assessor:	Not guilty

WITNESSES EXAMINED.

For Prosecution:-

1. Srinivasan (Circle Inspector)
2. Santharam (Sub-Inspector)
3. Srinivasan Ayyar (Sub-Magistrate)
4. Sundaram (Clerk)
5. Padmanabhan (Circle Inspector)

For Defence:- Nil,